

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/780,760	HIERZER, VALENTIN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Robin A. Hylton	3727	

**All Participants:**

(1) Robin A. Hylton.

(2) Harold Fullmer.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 20 September 2005

**Time:** \_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph

Claims discussed:

6,7,17,18,49, and 59-66.

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**ROBIN A. HYLTON**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Fulmer indicated a response to the Office action mailed July 13, 2005 was forthcoming to overcome the rejections and objections to the claims. Additionally, the examiner reviewed a co-pending application and determined a terminal disclaimer would be necessary to obviate a non-statutory double patenting rejection. The replacement drawings filed July 2, 2004 are approved.